

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,828	ROSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maryam Monshipouri	1653	

All Participants:

(1) Maryam Monshipouri.

(2) Ms. P. A. Borden.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 112 first paragraph,

Claims discussed:
 1-2, 30, 34-36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: lack of enablement issues and written description issues directed to said claims were discussed. The examiner requested increasing percentage identity in claims 1-2 and 34-36 and reciting function in said claims. Ms. Borden agreed with the proposed changes to the claims and gave authority to the examiner to amend the claims in an Examiner's amendment.